

DON ANDERSON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

VS.

AMERICAN BANK & TRUST OF THE
CUMBERLANDS

Defendant.

INTRODUCTION

15 U.S.C. § 1693.

1 3. Among other things, the EFTA imposes certain disclosure requirements upon
2 operators of automated teller machines ("ATMs").

3 4. 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3)(A) requires any ATM operator who imposes fees on
4 consumers in connection with electronic fund transfers to provide notice of the fact that the fee is
5 being imposed and the amount of the fee.¹

6 5. 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3)(B) identifies the location where the required notice must
7 be posted as follows:

8 (B) Notice requirements

9 (i) On the machine

10 The notice required under clause (i) of subparagraph (A) with respect to any fee
11 described in such paragraph shall be posted in a prominent and conspicuous
12 location on or at the automated teller machine at which the electronic fund
transfer is initiated by the consumer.

13 (ii) On the screen

14
15 The notice required under clauses (i) and (ii) subparagraph (A) with respect to any
16 fee described in such subparagraph shall appear on the screen of the automated
17 teller machine, or on a paper notice issued from such machine, after the
transaction is initiated and before the consumer is irrevocably committed to
completing the transaction....

18 6. The relevant implementing regulation, 12 C.F.R. § 205.16(c) reinforces EFTA's
19 statutory posting requirement, mandating that the mandatory fee notice: 1) be posted in a
20 "prominent and conspicuous location" on or at the ATM machine; and 2) "on the screen of the
21 automated teller machine or by providing it on paper, before the consumer is committed to paying
22 the fee." 12 C.F.R. § 205.16(c)(1) and (2).

23
24
25
26 ¹ "Electronic fund transfer" is defined as "any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft,
27 or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, or computer or
28 magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term
includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, direct deposits or
withdrawals of funds, and transfers initiated by telephone...." 15 U.S.C. § 1693a(6).

1 7. 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3)(C), and its implementing regulation, 12 C.F.R. 205.16(e),
2 prohibit ATM operators from imposing a fee on a consumer unless EFTA's notice and posting
3 requirements are followed by the ATM operator.

4 8. Specifically, 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3)(C) states, in relevant part:

5 (C) Prohibition on fees not properly disclosed and explicitly assumed by the consumer

6 No fee may be imposed by any automated teller machine operator in connection
7 with any electronic fund transfer initiated by a consumer for which a notice is
8 required under subparagraph (A), unless—

8 (i) The consumer receives such notice in accordance with subparagraph

9 (B)...

10 9. In connection with the 2006 amendments to the EFTA, the board of governors of
11 the Federal Reserve published its final rule and official staff interpretation which, *inter alia*,
12 explained the EFTA's disclosure requirements as follows:

13 The final rule clarifies the two-part disclosure scheme established in Section
14 904(d)(3)(B) of the EFTA. The first disclosure, on ATM signage posted on or at
15 the ATM, allows consumers to identify quickly ATMs that generally charge a fee
16 for use. This disclosure is not intended to provide a complete disclosure of the
17 fees associated with the particular type of transaction the consumer seeks to
18 conduct. Until a consumer uses his or her card at an ATM, the ATM operator
19 does not know whether a surcharge will be imposed for that particular consumer.
20 Rather it is the second, more specific disclosure, made either on the ATM screen
21 or on an ATM receipt, that informs the consumer before he or she is committed to
22 the transaction whether, in fact, a fee will be imposed for the transaction and the
23 amount of the fee...

24 71 F.R. 1638, 1656.

25 10. The EFTA imposes strict liability upon ATM operators which fail to comply with
26 its disclosure requirements. *See e.g., Flores v. Diamond Bank*, 2008 WL 4861511 (N.D. Ill.,
27 Nov. 7, 2008). *Cf. Bisbey v. D.C. National Bank*, 793 F.2d 315, 318-19 (D.C. Cir. 1986).
28 ("Among the protections afforded to consumers is the right to receive notice from the ATM
operator of the existence and amount of any fee that operator might impose on consumers for
conducting transactions at the ATM. 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3). This requires the ATM operator to

1 place notice both on the machine itself and upon the ATM screen. 15 U.S.C. §1693b(d)(3)(B)").
2 A plaintiff seeking statutory damages under the EFTA need not prove that he or she has sustained
3 any actual financial loss, or that he or she relied upon the lack of mandatory disclosure as an
4 inducement to enter the transaction. *See Burns v. First American Bank*, 2006 WL 3754820 *6 (N.
5 D. Ill., Dec. 19, 2006) ("Section 1693b(d)(3) prohibits an ATM operator from charging a fee
6 unless it provides notice of its fee on the machine and on the screen, period, no mention of a
7 necessary scienter.")
8

9 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10 11. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15
11 U.S.C. § 1693 *et. seq.*

12 12. Plaintiff's claims asserted herein arose in this judicial district and Defendant does
13 substantial business in this judicial district and/or is the operator of ATMs in this judicial district.

14 13. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) and
15 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions
16 giving rise to the claims occurred.

17 PARTIES

18 14. Plaintiff, Don Anderson, is and at all times relevant hereto was a resident of the
19 state of New York.

20 15. Defendant, AMERICAN BANK & TRUST OF THE CUMBERLANDS is
21 headquartered at 808 West Main Street, Livingston, Tennessee 38570.

22 16. Defendant is an automated teller machine operator, as that term is defined by 12
23 C.F.R. § 205.16(a) which states: "Automated teller machine operator means any person that
24 operates an automated teller machine at which a consumer initiates an electronic fund transfer or
25 a balance inquiry and that does not hold the account to or from which the transfer is made, or
26 about which the inquiry is made."
27
28

1 **FACTS RELATED TO PLAINTIFF'S TRANSACTION**

2 Specifically, Plaintiff made a cash withdrawal from Defendant's ATM at the following locations:

3 (a) On or about October 2, 2012, Plaintiff made an electronic fund transfer at
4 Defendant's ATM located at 808 West Main Street, Livingston, Tennessee
5 38570. Defendant charged Plaintiff a fee of \$2.00 in connection with the
 above-described transaction.

6 17. At the time of the above-described electronic transaction, Plaintiff did not maintain
7 any accounts with Defendant.

8 18. At the time of the above-described transaction, Defendant was acting as an
9 "automated teller machine operator" that operated the automated teller machine at which Plaintiff
10 initiated an electronic fund transfer or a balance inquiry.

11 19. However, at the time of the above-described transaction, there was no notice
12 posted "on or at" the ATM operated by Defendant apprising consumers that a fee would be
13 charged for use of the ATM.

14 20. Because Defendant did not post the required notice, it was not permitted to charge
15 a usage fee to Plaintiff and other class members.

16 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

17 21. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of himself and all other similarly
18 situated pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

19 22. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of persons to be defined as follows:

20 All persons who during the year preceding the filing of Plaintiff's complaint: 1)
21 where charged a "terminal fee" at Defendant's ATM located at 808 West Main
22 Street, Livingston, Tennessee 38570 which was operated by Defendant when
23 such persons made an electronic fund transfer and/or balance inquiry where, 2) no
 notice indicating that such fee was to be charged was posted on or at the outside
 of the ATM machine.

24 23. Congress expressly intended that the EFTA would be enforced, in part, through
25 private class actions. 15 U.S.C. § 1693m(a).

26 24. The EFTA's class action enforcement provisions are identical, in relevant part, to
27 the class action enforcement provisions of other consumer protection statutes and housed within
28 the Consumer Credit Protection Act. (15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*) There is abundant authority

1 interpreting and applying these statutory class action provisions, throughout the federal judiciary.
2 Federal courts have routinely certified classes relating to consumer claims for statutory damages,
3 generally, and for violation of the EFTA's ATM fee disclosure provisions, specifically. *See, e.g.,*
4 *Hammer v. JP's Southwestern Foods, LLC*, No. 08-cv-0339 (W.D. Mo.)(Gaitan, J.); *Flores, supra*
5 *2008 WL 4861511 at 3-5; Burns, supra, 2006 WL 3754820 at *11-12; Jackman v. Global Cash*
6 *Access Holdings*, 09-cv-897 (W.D. Pa.)(McVerry, J.)(class certified and final settlement approval
7 granted); *Nolf v. Allegheny Bank of Pittsburgh*, 09-cv-645 (W.D.Pa.)(Bissoon, J.)(class certified
8 and final settlement approval granted); *Dragotta v. Northwest Bancorp, Inc. d/b/a Northwest*
9 *Savings Bank*, 09-cv-632 (W.D. Pa.)(Fischer, J.) (class certified and final settlement approval
10 granted); *Parker v. First-Citizen Bank & Trust Company*, 09-cv-0588 (M.D. Tenn.)(Campbell, J.)
11 .)(class certified and final settlement approval granted); *Polevoy v. Devon Bank*, 08-cv-4822
12 (N.D. Ill.)(Kennelly, J.) (class certified and final settlement approval granted); *Ochart v.*
13 *Broadway Bank*, 08-cv-4893, (N.D. Ill.)(Castillo, J.)(class certified and final settlement approval
14 granted); *Anthony v. Fifth Third Bank (Chicago)*, 08-cv-4359, (N.D. Ill.)(Schenkier, J.)(class
15 certified and final settlement approval granted); *Zintel v. Financial Partners Credit Union, (C.D.*
16 *CA)*, SACV 09-0868. (class certified and final settlement approval granted).

17 25. Numerosity: The class described above is so numerous that joinder of all
18 individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual
19 claims of the respective class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and
20 this Court.

21 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that there are at minimum,
22 thousands of members of the class described above.

23 27. The exact size of the class and the identities of the individual members thereof are
24 ascertainable through Defendant's records.

25 28. Members of the class may be notified of the pendency of this action by techniques
26 and forms commonly used in class actions, such as by published notice, e-mail notice, website
27 notices, first class mail, or combinations thereof, or by other methods suitable to this class and
28 deemed necessary and/or appropriate by this Court.

1 29. Typicality: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class.
2 The claims of the Plaintiff and members of the class are based on the same legal theories and
3 arise from the same unlawful and willful conduct.

4 30. Plaintiff and members of the class were each consumers who used an ATM
5 machine operated by Defendant to make an electronic fund transfer or balance inquiry and were
6 charged a terminal owner fee, notwithstanding that the posting providing notice of the fee
7 required by EFTA "on or at" Defendant's terminals was not present.

8 31. Common Questions of Fact and Law: There is a well-defined community of
9 interest and common questions of fact and law affecting members of the class.

10 32. The questions of fact and law common to the class predominate over questions
11 which may affect individual members and include the following:

- 12 a. Whether, under 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3)(A) and 12 C.F.R. 205.16,
13 Defendant was, at all relevant times, an automated teller machine operator
14 that imposed a fee on consumers for providing host electronic fund transfer
15 services to those consumers;
16 b. Whether Defendant complied with the notice requirements of 15 U.S.C. §
17 1693(d)(3)(B) and 12 C.F.R. 205.16; and,
18 c. Whether Plaintiff and members of the class are entitled to statutory
19 damages, costs and/or attorneys' fees for Defendant's acts and conduct.

20 33. Adequacy of Representations: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class
21 because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff will
22 fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the class
23 and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who
24 is competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation.

25 34. Superiority: A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and
26 efficient adjudication of the claims of the class. While the aggregate damages which may be
27 awarded to the members of the class are likely to be substantial, the damages suffered by the
28 individual members of the class are relatively small. As a result, the expense and burden of
individual litigation makes it economically infeasible and procedurally impracticable for each
member of the class to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. Plaintiff does not

1 know of any other litigation concerning this controversy already commenced by or against any
2 member of the class. The likelihood of the individual members of the class prosecuting separate
3 claims is remote. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying,
4 inconsistent, or contradictory judgments, and would increase the delay and expense to all parties
5 and the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same factual issues. In contrast, the
6 conduct of this matter as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the
7 resources of the parties and the court system, and would protect the rights of each member of the
8 class. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty to be encountered in the management of this action that
9 would preclude its maintenance as a class action.

10 **SUBSTANTIVE VIOLATION**

11 35. 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3)(A) provides that as a prerequisite to imposition of a usage
12 fee upon a consumer for host transfer services, an automated teller machine operator must provide
13 notice to the consumer consistent with subparagraph (B) of that statutory section.

14 36. Subparagraph (B) of 15 U.S.C. § 1693(d)(3) provides in relevant part:

15 (B) Notice requirements

16 (iii) On the machine

17 The notice required under clause (i) of subparagraph (A) with respect to any fee
18 described in such subparagraph shall be posted in a prominent and conspicuous
19 location on or at the automated teller machine at which the electronic fund
20 transfer is initiated by the consumer.

21 37. In turn, subparagraph (c) of 15 U.S.C. § 1693b(d)(3) states, in relevant part:

22 (C) Prohibition on fees not properly disclosed and explicitly assumed by the consumer

23 No fee may be imposed by any automated teller machine operator in connection
24 with any electronic fund transfer initiated by a consumer for which a notice is
25 required under subparagraph (A), unless—

26 (i) The consumer receives such notice in accordance with subparagraph (B)...

27 38. EFTA's statutory notice requirements are reinforced by the implementing
28 regulations set forth at 12 C.F.R. § 205.16.

39. Defendant violated the notice requirements of EFTA in connection with providing
host transfer services to Plaintiff and the Class.

40. Defendant was prohibited from imposing any usage fee or similar fee for providing host transfer services because it failed to comply with EFTA's notice requirements.

41. 15 U.S.C. § 1693m provides that Defendant shall be liable to Plaintiff and Class for violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1693 *et seq.* in the amount of, *inter alia*, statutory damages to be determined by the Court, the costs of this action and reasonable attorneys' fees.

42. On November 27, 2009, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”)- a major regulator of many banks—issued Financial Institution Letter 66-2009 reiterating the fact that the EFTA requires ATM operators to post ATM fee notices both (a) in a prominent and conspicuous location on or at the automated teller machine, and (b) on the screen or on paper before the consumer is committed to paying the fee. The letter also noted that the FDIC had first notified FDIC-supervised banks of these requirements in a Financial Institution Letter dated March 23, 2001 (FIL-25-2001).

43. By way of this action, Plaintiff seeks the imposition of statutory damages, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the members of the class, prays for:

- a. An order certifying the class and appointing Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and appointing counsel for Plaintiff as counsel for the class;
- b. An award to Plaintiff and the members of the class of statutory damages;
- c. Payment of costs of suit; and,
- d. Payment of reasonably attorneys' fees.

A TRIAL BY JURY IS DEMANDED.

1 Dated: October 11, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

2 **REEVES, HERBERT & ANDERSON, P.A.**

3 By: Pamela L. Reeves (w/ permission by Don Anderson)

4 Pamela L. Reeves (BPR #006643)

5 Tyson Place, Suite 130

6 2607 Kingston Pike

7 Knoxville, TN 37919

8 (865) 540-1977 (t)

9 Counsel for Plaintiff
10 DON ANDERSON
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28